

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/826,509

Applicant(s)

BAILEY ET AL.

Examiner

FRED I. EHICHIOYA

Art Unit

2156

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FRED I. EHICHIOYA

(3) _____

(2) Jens C. Jenkins (Reg. No. 44,803)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 August 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Due to the allowability of claim 1, the Examiner suggested to applicant's representative Mr. Jenkins to make claim 13 consistent in limitations as claim 1 to also make claim 13 allowable. Mr. Jenkins accepted Examiner's proposition and authorized examiner's amendment to this effect.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Fred I. Ehichioya/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2156